

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/839,424	04/20/2001	David L. Brown	3364/1 (PHA 4176)	1761
75	7590 10/19/2005		EXAMINER	
Pharmacia Corporation			DENTZ, BERNARD I	
Corporate Patent Department P.O. Box 5110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60680-9889			1625	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comme	09/839,424	BROWN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bernard Dentz	1625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	ilv 2005.				
· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 7,31,32,35-38,40,41,94,95,101,115-122 and 124-131 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) 7,31,32,35-38,40,41,94 95,101,115-122 and 124-131 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachment/c)	•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Inton ia 0	(PTO 442)			
1) Unotice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7 - 25 2005 S. Patent and Trademark Office	6) Other:				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offi PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 09/839,424

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 7,31,32,35-38,40,41,94,95,101,115-122 and 124-131are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merck WO 94/26731, in view of Ando et al, Haruta et al and Kimura et al. for the reasons of record.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 7,31,32,35-38,40,41,94,95,101 115-122 and 124-131 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-113 of copending Application No. 10/258493. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented. The claims are drawn to the same material. A clear demarcation is required.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 119-121 and 129-131 drawn to methods of treating cancer are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. There are no tests

Application/Control Number: 09/839,424 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

in the specification indicating any specific anti-cancer activity for the compounds.

Cancer covers a wide scope of pathology caused by different mechanisms. Thus treating cancer broadly is not enabled. Further there is no enablement for the specific conditions recited in claim 129.

Applicant's arguments filed 7-25-2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants invention is within the scope of the primary reference. The choice of fluoro as the halogen in the 2-position is clearly indicated by its preference in the same position of the analogous compounds of the secondary references.

Because of the new rejections this action is not made final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard Dentz whose telephone number is 571-272-0683. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached on 571 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Den 12

PERMARD DENTA FRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1609